arXiv:1409.1728v2 [math.SP] 5 Mar 2015

THE SPECTRAL DENSITY OF A DIFFERENCE OF SPECTRAL PROJECTIONS

ALEXANDER PUSHNITSKI

Abstract. Let H_0 and H be a pair of self-adjoint operators satisfying some standard assumptions of scattering theory. It is known from previous work that if λ belongs to the absolutely continuous spectrum of H_0 and H, then the difference of spectral projections

$$D(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H-\lambda) - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H_0-\lambda)$$

in general is not compact and has non-trivial absolutely continuous spectrum. In this paper we consider the compact approximations $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ of $D(\lambda)$, given by

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \psi_\varepsilon(H-\lambda) - \psi_\varepsilon(H_0-\lambda),$$

where $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \psi(x/\varepsilon)$ and $\psi(x)$ is a smooth real-valued function which tends to $\mp 1/2$ as $x \to \pm\infty$. We prove that the eigenvalues of $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ concentrate to the absolutely continuous spectrum of $D(\lambda)$ as $\varepsilon \to +0$. We show that the rate of concentration is proportional to $|\log\varepsilon|$ and give an explicit formula for the asymptotic density of these eigenvalues. It turns out that this density is independent of ψ. The proof relies on the analysis of Hankel operators.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** Let H_0 and H be self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space such that the difference $H - H_0$ is compact. Then it is not difficult to show that for any continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which tends to zero at infinity, the difference

$$\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0) \tag{1.1}$$

is also compact. However, if φ has discontinuities on the essential spectrum of H_0 and H, then the difference (1.1) may acquire non-trivial absolutely continuous (a.c.) spectrum. The first example of this kind was constructed by M. G. Krein in [11]. He was interested in the difference of spectral projections

$$D(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H-\lambda) - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H_0-\lambda); \tag{1.2}$$

here $\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(-\infty, 0)$. Krein exhibited an explicit pair of bounded operators H_0, H with $\operatorname{rank}(H - H_0) = 1$; for λ in the a.c. spectrum of H_0, he computed the difference (1.2) and showed that it is not in the Hilbert-Schmidt class, which sufficed for his purposes. Later in [10], using

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B15; 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Spectral asymptotics; spectral projections; spectral shift function; Hankel operators.

methods of the theory of Hankel operators, it was shown that the operator $D(\lambda)$ in Krein's example is not even compact and has non-trivial a.c. spectrum.

In [16, 18, 19] this phenomenon was studied in a general setting. Let us briefly recall the results of this work. Suppose that the pair H_0, H satisfies some standard assumptions of smooth scattering theory. It was shown that for λ in the a.c. spectrum of H_0 and H, the spectral structure of $D(\lambda)$ can be described in terms of the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ for the pair (H_0, H). The scattering matrix is a unitary operator in an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{N}$, which is a fiber space in the spectral representation of H_0. The space $\mathcal{N}$ may be finite or infinite dimensional; we denote $N = \dim \mathcal{N} \leq \infty$. Let $\{e^{i\theta_n(\lambda)}\}_{n=1}^N$ be the eigenvalues of $S(\lambda)$ and let us denote

$$a_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}|e^{i\theta_n(\lambda)} - 1| = |\sin(\theta_n(\lambda)/2)|$$

for λ in the a.c. spectrum of H_0. In [16, 18] it was proven that the a.c. spectrum of $D(\lambda)$ can be characterised as the union of the intervals

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(D(\lambda)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} [-a_n(\lambda), a_n(\lambda)], \tag{1.3}$$

where each interval contributes multiplicity one to the a.c. spectrum. It was also proven that the singular continuous spectrum of $D(\lambda)$ is absent and some partial information about the eigenvalues of $D(\lambda)$ was obtained. Finally, in [19], the spectrum of $\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0)$ was studied for functions φ with several jump discontinuities.

1.2. **Informal description of the main result.** In this paper, we study the regularizations of the difference $D(\lambda)$ obtained by replacing the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ in the definition (1.2) by a smooth function ψ_ε which approaches $\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}$ as $\varepsilon \to +0$. More precisely, let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real valued function such that

$$\psi(x) \to \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{as } x \to -\infty, \\ -1/2 & \text{as } x \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \psi(x/\varepsilon)$ and consider the difference

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \psi_\varepsilon(H - \lambda) - \psi_\varepsilon(H_0 - \lambda). \tag{1.5}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) \to \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) - \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \quad x \neq 0$$

and therefore, if λ is not an eigenvalue of H_0 or H, then

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda) \to D(\lambda) \quad \text{strongly as } \varepsilon \to +0.$$

Fix λ in the a.c. spectrum of H_0. By the results of [16, 18], the a.c. spectrum of $D(\lambda)$ is described by the union of the bands (1.3). On the other hand, under our assumptions, the operator $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is compact (see Lemma 2.1) and so it has

pure point spectrum. One expects that the eigenvalues of $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ concentrate to the spectral bands (1.3) as $\varepsilon \to +0$. We show that this is indeed the case and give a quantitative description of this concentration.

Let us briefly and somewhat informally describe our assumptions on the self-adjoint operators H_0 and H; precise statements will be given in Section 2. We assume that H_0 is lower semi-bounded and $H = H_0 + V$, where V is H_0-form compact. (Lower semi-boundedness is not essential for our construction but it allows us to avoid some unimportant technical issues.) We assume that for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(H_0 + bI)^{-k} - (H + bI)^{-k} \in \mathbf{S}_p,$$

where $\mathbf{S}_p$ is a Schatten class with an exponent $p < \infty$. Finally, and most importantly, we make (in the terminology of [23]) a strong smoothness assumption. Let us fix an open interval $\delta \subset \mathbb{R}$; in what follows, the parameter λ will be taken inside this interval. Roughly speaking, the strong smoothness assumption means that

(i) H_0 has a purely a.c. spectrum of a constant multiplicity $N \le \infty$ on δ;
(ii) the operator $\mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0)V\mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0)$ can be represented as an integral operator with a sufficiently regular kernel in the spectral representation of H_0.

Our main result is as follows: Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be a function that vanishes identically in a neighbourhood of zero. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the operator $g(D_\varepsilon(\lambda))$ has a finite rank and so its trace is well defined; we prove that for any $\lambda \in \delta$ one has the asymptotic relation

$$\operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon(\lambda)) = |\log\varepsilon| \int_{-1}^{1} g(y)\mu_\lambda(y)dy + o(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \tag{1.6}$$

where the density function μ_λ is given by

$$\mu_\lambda(y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(-a_n(\lambda),a_n(\lambda))}(y)}{|y|\sqrt{1 - y^2/a_n^2(\lambda)}}, \quad y \in (-1,1). \tag{1.7}$$

1.3. **Discussion.** *Universality:* Observe that μ_λ is *independent of the choice of* ψ, as long as ψ satisfies (1.4). Further, the density μ_λ is the sum of the functions each of which is supported on a single band $[-a_n(\lambda), a_n(\lambda)]$. Each of these functions is a scaled version of the explicit function

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(-1,1)}(y)}{|y|\sqrt{1-y^2}}, \quad y \in (-1,1).$$

This can be interpreted as a certain universality phenomenon in this spectral problem.

We also note that by shifts and scaling, it is easy to obtain analogous results in the case when the function $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\psi(x) \to A_\pm \quad \text{as } x \to \pm\infty, \tag{1.8}$$

for any given values $A_+ \neq A_-$.

Symmetry of μ_λ: Observe that μ_λ is even, $\mu_\lambda(-y) = \mu_\lambda(y)$. In particular, (1.6) yields

$$\operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon(\lambda)) = o(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \qquad g \text{ odd}. \tag{1.9}$$

We shall give some explanation of this in Section 3.2.

Logarithmic rate: Let us present a heuristic argument that provides some intuition into the appearance of the logarithmic term $|\log\varepsilon|$ in (1.6). We use the formalism of the double operator integrals, see e.g. [4] and references therein. Fix $\lambda \in \delta$; we have

$$\psi_\varepsilon(H-\lambda) - \psi_\varepsilon(H_0-\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(y-\lambda)}{x-y} d\mathcal{E}(x,y), \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(x,y)$ is the operator valued measure on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\Delta,\Delta_0) = \mathbb{1}_\Delta(H) V \mathbb{1}_{\Delta_0}(H_0), \quad \Delta,\Delta_0 \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Roughly speaking, our strong smoothness assumption on V ensures that the measure $\mathcal{E}$ is sufficiently regular on $\delta_0\times\delta_0$, where $\delta_0 \subset \delta$ is an open set which contains λ. "Sufficiently regular" in this context means that $d\mathcal{E}(x,y) = \mathcal{E}'(x,y)dxdy$, where the norm $\|\mathcal{E}'(x,y)\|_p$ in an appropriate Schatten class $\mathbf{S}_p$ is bounded uniformly in $(x,y)\in\delta_0\times\delta_0$.

From the regularity of $\mathcal{E}$ it follows that the singular behaviour of the operator (1.10) as $\varepsilon\to+0$ is determined entirely by the behaviour of the function $\frac{\psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(y-\lambda)}{x-y}$ near $x=y=\lambda$ (and not by the measure $\mathcal{E}$). To see why $|\log\varepsilon|$ appears in the asymptotics (1.6), let us compute the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the operator in (1.10):

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon(0))^2 &= \|\psi_\varepsilon(H-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(H_0-\lambda)\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\delta_0}\int_{\delta_0} \left|\frac{\psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(y-\lambda)}{x-y}\right|^2 \|\mathcal{E}'(x,y)\|_2^2\, dxdy + O(1)\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon\to+0$. Assuming that $\|\mathcal{E}'(x,y)\|_2$ is bounded uniformly in $(x,y)\in\delta_0\times\delta_0$, we end up with estimating the integral

$$\int_{\delta_0}\int_{\delta_0} \left|\frac{\psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(y-\lambda)}{x-y}\right|^2 dxdy.$$

An elementary calculation (using our assumption (1.4) on the asymptotic behaviour of ψ) shows that this integral has the asymptotics $2|\log\varepsilon|+O(1)$ as $\varepsilon\to+0$.

Comparison with other estimates: Under somewhat more restrictive assumptions on ψ (see (3.1)), the asymptotics (1.6) is valid for $g(t)=t^m$, where m is a sufficiently large integer (this follows from the first step of the proof in Section 10). Taking m even, directly from (1.6) we obtain an estimate in the Schatten class $\mathbf{S}_m$:

$$\|\psi_\varepsilon(H-\lambda)-\psi_\varepsilon(H_0-\lambda)\|_m^m = \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon(\lambda))^m = O(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon\to+0. \tag{1.11}$$

On the other hand, one can find estimates of the type

$$\|\varphi(H)-\varphi(H_0)\|_m \le C\|\varphi\|_*\|H-H_0\|_m \tag{1.12}$$

in the literature; here $\|\varphi\|_*$ is the norm of φ in an appropriate function class. There are several variations of (1.12): with a different Schatten norm on the right-hand side (r.h.s.), with a different power of $\|H-H_0\|$ in the r.h.s., etc; see e.g. [1, 15] and references therein. Substituting $\varphi(x)=\psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)$ into any of the estimates of the type (1.12), one *does not* recover the logarithmic behaviour (1.11). In fact, the best one can get in this way is $O(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$ with $\alpha>0$. This is not surprising, because estimates of the type (1.12) are valid for *all* pairs of operators H_0, H, whereas we use the crucial strong smoothness assumption. This aspect is further illustrated in the following example.

Example: To show what can happen with $\operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon(\lambda))$ without any structural assumptions on H_0 and H, let us consider the following example. Let $H_0=0$ and let $H\in\mathbf{S}_p$ be a compact self-adjoint operator with the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Assume $\psi(0)=0$; then

$$\operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon(0)) = \operatorname{Tr} g(\psi(H/\varepsilon)) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty g(\psi(\lambda_n/\varepsilon)).$$

Suppose that $\psi(t)=-1/2$ for $t\ge 1$ and $g\ge 0$, $g(-1/2)=1$. Then the r.h.s. can be estimated below as

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty g(\psi(\lambda_n/\varepsilon)) \ge \#\{n:\lambda_n>\varepsilon\}.$$

Thus, by choosing the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ appropriately, we can make the r.h.s. behave as $\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$ with any $\alpha<p$.

Applications: In Section 11 we give some examples of applications of the main result to the Schrödinger operator.

Connection with the trace formula: Suppose that $H-H_0$ is a trace class operator. In [11], Krein proved that there exists a real-valued function $\xi\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (called the spectral shift function) such that the following trace formula holds true:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi(H)-\varphi(H_0)) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \xi(x)\varphi'(x)dx,$$

for all smooth functions φ of a certain class. Taking *formally* $\varphi(x)=\mathbb{1}_{(\infty,0)}(x-\lambda)$ and observing that in this case $\varphi'(x)=-\delta(x-\lambda)$, we obtain the "naive trace formula"

$$\operatorname{Tr} D(\lambda) = -\xi(\lambda). \tag{1.13}$$

Since $D(\lambda)$ in general fails to belong to trace class, the naive formula (1.13) does not make sense as it is. However, it remains a source of inspiration in this area and several regularisations have been considered in the literature (see e.g. [13, 8]).

One regularisation is to take $\varphi(x) = \psi_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)$; since $-\psi'_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)$ converges to the delta function $\delta(x-\lambda)$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr} D_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x)\psi'_\varepsilon(x-\lambda)dx \to -\xi(\lambda), \tag{1.14}$$

if λ is a Lebesgue point of ξ. The main result of this paper is a step towards a better understanding of (1.14).

A conjecture: Taking formally $g(t) = t$ in (1.6) (or in (1.9)) and comparing with (1.14) suggests that in the trace class case, one can hope to replace the error term $o(|\log\varepsilon|)$ in (1.6) (or in (1.9)) by $\text{const} + o(1)$, where the constant is related to the spectral shift function.

Related work: Much of our construction uses the ideas of [19]. However, the nature of the results is quite different: [19] describes the a.c. spectrum of $D(\lambda)$, whereas here we deal exclusively with the point spectrum.

In [17], the spectrum of $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ was considered for functions $\psi(x)$ that tend to zero as $|x| \to \infty$. In this case, no spectral concentration for $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ occurs. Instead, the eigenvalues of $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ converge to some "limiting spectrum", which is described as the spectrum of a certain *compact* model operator. This model operator depends on the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ and also *depends on the choice of* ψ. Thus, in this case the universality phenomenon discussed above does not hold. To comment on this, we note that the case $\psi(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ can be considered as a "degenerate case" $A_+ = A_- = 0$ of the function of the type (1.8). Thus, roughly speaking, the problem discussed in [17] corresponds to the (conjectural) next term in the asymptotics (1.6).

In [9] the products of spectral projections

$$\Pi_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,-\varepsilon)}(H_0-\lambda)\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,\infty)}(H-\lambda)\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,-\varepsilon)}(H_0-\lambda)$$

are considered under some assumptions similar to the ones of this paper. These products are compact, while the limiting product

$$\Pi(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H_0-\lambda)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(H-\lambda)\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(H_0-\lambda)$$

in general has a non-trivial a.c. spectrum. Similarly to (1.3), this spectrum can be described as the union of bands

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ac}}(\Pi(\lambda)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} [0, a_n(\lambda)^2]; \tag{1.15}$$

this fact was established in [16, 18]. In [9] it is proved that the eigenvalues of $\Pi_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ accumulate to the spectral bands (1.15) in a manner similar to (1.6). The technique of [9] is quite different from the one of this paper, although it also relies on the analysis of Hankel operators.

1.4. **Key ideas of the proof.** We study the operator $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ in the spectral representation of H_0. For the simplicity of notation, let us take $\lambda = 0$ in (1.6) (the general case reduces to this one by a shift). Let $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real valued function equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of zero. Roughly speaking, after a number of reductions we show that the spectrum of $D_\varepsilon(0)$ is accurately approximated by the spectrum of the compact self-adjoint operator

$$P_-\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\chi}_0P_+ \otimes (S(0) - I) + P_+\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\chi}_0P_- \otimes (S(0)^* - I) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{N}. \tag{1.16}$$

Here $P_\pm$ are the orthogonal projections onto the Hardy classes $H^2_\pm(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see Section 1.5), $\mathcal{N}$ is the Hilbert space where the scattering matrix $S(0)$ acts, and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\chi}_0$) is the operator of multiplication by the function $\psi_\varepsilon(x)$ (resp. $\chi_0(x)$) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$. The operators with the structure (1.16) are called *symmetrised Hankel operators* (SHO) in [19]; they were introduced in connection with the study of the spectrum of $\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0)$ with piecewise continuous φ.

Formula (1.16) already depends on $S(0)$ in an explicit way. In order to deal with the part of the operator (1.16) acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we show, roughly speaking, that the relevant spectral asymptotics is independent of the choice of the function ψ, as long as $\psi(x)$ approaches $\mp 1/2$ as $x \to \pm\infty$. This allows us to replace ψ by the explicit function

$$-\tfrac{1}{\pi}\tan^{-1}(x).$$

In this case, we are able to determine the spectral asymptotics by reducing the problem to the analysis of a simple explicit Hankel operator. See Sections 3.7, 3.8 for the details of the last step.

1.5. **Notation.** We denote by $\mathbf{S}_p$, $p \geq 1$, the standard Schatten class and by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm in this class. We will frequently use the Hölder inequality for Schatten classes:

$$\|XY\|_r \leq \|X\|_p\|Y\|_q, \quad \tfrac{1}{r} = \tfrac{1}{p} + \tfrac{1}{q}. \tag{1.17}$$

$\mathbf{B}$ denotes the class of all bounded operators, $\mathbf{S}_\infty$ is the class of all compact operators and $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. For a set $\delta \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{1}_\delta$ denotes the characteristic function of δ. If X is a Banach space, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ etc. the classes of X-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_1$, $\mathfrak{h}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and let Ω be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in the set of bounded operators acting from $\mathfrak{h}_1$ to $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Assume that $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{B})$. We associate with Ω the bounded operator (which will be denoted by the corresponding boldface symbol)

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_1) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_2)$$

acting as "the multiplication by Ω":

$$(\boldsymbol{\Omega}f)(x) = \Omega(x)f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_1). \tag{1.18}$$

We will work with the standard Hardy classes $H^2_\pm(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined as the classes of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that admit an analytic continuation into the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and satisfy the estimate

$$\sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x \pm iy)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

We denote by $P_\pm : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to H^2_\pm(\mathbb{R})$ the Hardy projections. Recall that the explicit formula for $P_\pm$ is

$$(P_\pm f)(x) = \mp \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x')}{x - x' \pm i\epsilon} dx', \qquad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.19}$$

1.6. **The structure of the paper.** In Section 2 we state our assumptions and present the main result of the paper (Theorem 2.4). In Section 3 we describe the plan of the proof, define all the main objects appearing in our construction and state the main steps of the proof as lemmas. These lemmas are proven in Sections 4–9. The proof is concluded in Section 10. Applications are discussed in Section 11.

2. Main result

2.1. **Assumptions.** Let H_0 be a self-adjoint lower semi-bounded operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let the perturbation V be of the form

$$V = G^* V_0 G \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.$$

Here G is an operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and $V_0 = V_0^*$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{K}$ (of course, the simplest case is $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$, $G = |V|^{1/2}$ and $V_0 = \operatorname{sign}(V)$). We assume that the operator G satisfies

$$G(H_0 + bI)^{-1/2} \in \mathbf{S}_\infty, \quad b > -\inf \sigma(H_0). \tag{2.1}$$

Condition (2.1) ensures that V is H_0-form compact, and so the perturbed operator

$$H = H_0 + V \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.$$

may be defined as a form sum. From (2.1) it is easy to derive

Lemma 2.1. *Let* (2.1) *hold true and let* $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfy* (1.4). *Then for any* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *and for any* $\varepsilon > 0$, *the operator* $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ *is compact.*

The proof is given in Section 4.

Next, we assume that for some $p < \infty$, some $b > -\min\{\inf \sigma(H_0), \inf \sigma(H)\}$ and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$(H + bI)^{-k} - (H_0 + bI)^{-k} \in \mathbf{S}_p. \tag{2.2}$$

Further, we describe the strong smoothness assumption. Let $\delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $\overline{\delta}$ be the closure of δ. Assume that the spectrum of H_0 on δ is purely

a.c. with a constant multiplicity $N \leq \infty$. More explicitly, we assume that for some auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{N}$ with $\dim \mathcal{N} = N$, there exists a unitary operator

$$\mathcal{F} : \operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0) \to L^2(\delta, \mathcal{N})$$

such that $\mathcal{F}$ diagonalises H_0:

$$(\mathcal{F} H_0 f)(\lambda) = \lambda \widetilde{f}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \delta, \quad \widetilde{f} = \mathcal{F} f,$$

for any $f \in \operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0)$. Further, for $\lambda \in \delta$, let $Z(\lambda) : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{N}$ be the operator formally defined by the relation

$$Z(\lambda) u = (\mathcal{F} G^* u)(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \delta, \quad u \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{2.3}$$

We assume that $Z(\lambda) \in \mathbf{S}_{2p}$ and that the estimates

$$\|Z(\lambda)\|_{2p} \leq C, \quad \|Z(\lambda) - Z(\lambda')\|_{2p} \leq C|\lambda - \lambda'|^\gamma, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in \overline{\delta} \tag{2.4}$$

are satisfied with some exponent $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. In other words, $Z \in C^\gamma(\overline{\delta}, \mathbf{S}_{2p})$. Formula (2.3) implies that G acts upon any $f \in \operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0)$ according to the formula

$$G f = \int_\delta Z(\lambda)^* \widetilde{f}(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad \widetilde{f} = \mathcal{F} f \in L^2(\delta, \mathcal{N}). \tag{2.5}$$

This is slightly stronger than what is called strong smoothness in [23, Section 4.4]; strong smoothness refers to the case when the norm in (2.4) is the operator norm. It is natural to call the above assumption the $\mathbf{S}_{2p}$-valued strong smoothness. In applications, this assumption is easy to verify, see Section 11.

Let us summarize our assumptions:

Assumption 2.2. (1) *H_0 is lower semi-bounded and $H = H_0 + V$, where $V = G^* V_0 G$ satisfies* (2.1).
(2) *For some $b > -\min\{\inf \sigma(H_0), \inf \sigma(H)\}$, $p < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the inclusion* (2.2).
(3) *H_0 has a purely a.c. spectrum with multiplicity N on the interval δ.*
(4) *G satisfies the $\mathbf{S}_{2p}$-valued strong smoothness assumption* (2.3), (2.4) *on δ.*

Remark. The assumption that H_0 and H are lower semi-bounded is not essential for our construction. We choose to impose this assumption here simply because it allows us to avoid several irrelevant technical issues and to make the exposition more transparent. In [19], related analysis of $D(\lambda)$ is carried out without the lower semi-boundedness assumption.

2.2. **Scattering theory.** For $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, we set

$$R(z) = (H - z)^{-1}, \quad R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1}, \quad T(z) = G R_0(z) G^*. \tag{2.6}$$

Since G is not assumed bounded, the precise definition of $T(z)$ is

$$T(z) = G(H_0 + bI)^{-1/2} \frac{H_0 + bI}{H_0 - zI} (G(H_0 + bI)^{-1/2})^*.$$

By the assumption (2.1), it follows that $T(z)$ is compact. We need the following well-known results, see e.g. [23, Section 4].

Proposition 2.3. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold. Then the operator-valued function $T(z)$ defined by (2.6) is Hölder continuous (with the exponent γ) in the operator norm for $\operatorname{Re} z \in \delta$, $\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0$; in particular, the limits $T(\lambda + i0)$ exist in $\mathbf{S}_\infty$ and are Hölder continuous in $\lambda \in \delta$. Let $\delta_* \subset \delta$ be the set of λ such that the equation*

$$f + T(\lambda + i0)V_0 f = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

has a non-trivial solution $f \in \mathcal{K}$, and let $\delta_0 = \delta \setminus \delta_*$. Then δ_* is closed in δ and has the Lebesgue measure zero. The inverse operator $(I + T(\lambda + i0)V_0)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \delta_0$, exists, is bounded and is a Hölder continuous function of $\lambda \in \delta_0$. Finally, the local wave operators

$$W_\pm = W_\pm(H, H_0; \delta_0) = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{t \to \pm\infty} e^{iHt} e^{-iH_0 t} \mathbb{1}_{\delta_0}(H_0)$$

exist and are complete, i.e. $\operatorname{Ran} W_\pm = \operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_{\delta_0}(H)$.

The local scattering operator

$$\mathbf{S} = W_+^* W_-$$

is unitary on $\operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_{\delta_0}(H_0)$ and commutes with H_0. Thus, we have a representation

$$(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{S}\mathcal{F}^* u)(\lambda) = S(\lambda)u(\lambda), \qquad \text{a.e. } \lambda \in \delta_0, \ \ u \in L^2(\delta_0, \mathcal{N})$$

where the operator $S(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{N}$ is called the scattering matrix for the pair H_0, H. The scattering matrix is unitary in $\mathcal{N}$. The difference $S(\lambda) - I$ is a compact operator (in fact, it belongs to $\mathbf{S}_p$, see (3.10)). Thus, the spectrum of $S(\lambda)$ consists of eigenvalues on the unit circle accumulating possibly only to the point 1. As in Section 1, we denote the eigenvalues of $S(\lambda)$ by $\{e^{i\theta_n(\lambda)}\}_{n=1}^N$, $N \leq \infty$, and use the notation $a_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}|e^{i\theta_n(\lambda)} - 1|$.

2.3. **Main result.**

Theorem 2.4. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold true, and let $\lambda \in \delta_0$ (the set δ_0 is defined in Proposition 2.3). Let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.4) and let $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ be defined by (1.5). Let $g = \mathbb{1}_\omega$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval such that $0 \notin \overline{\omega}$. Then the asymptotic relations*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left\{ g\left(\frac{a_n(\lambda)}{\cosh x}\right) + g\left(\frac{-a_n(\lambda)}{\cosh x}\right) \right\} dx \tag{2.8}$$

$$= \int_{-1}^1 g(y)\mu_\lambda(y)dy, \tag{2.9}$$

hold true, where μ_λ is given by (1.7). The relations (2.8), (2.9) also hold true for any $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ that vanishes in a neighbourhood of the origin.

Of course, (2.9) follows from (2.8) by a change of variable $y = a_n/\cosh x$.

3. The outline of the proof

Here we present the key steps of the proof of Theorem 2.4 and introduce all relevant objects. Details are filled in in Sections 4–10.

3.1. **The strategy.** In what follows for the simplicity of notation we set the parameter $\lambda \in \delta_0$ in Theorem 2.4 to be equal to zero and denote $D_\varepsilon := D_\varepsilon(0)$. We will initially assume that $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies a stronger assumption than (1.4), viz.

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{for } x < -R \\ -1/2 & \text{for } x > R \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

with some $R > 0$. Reduction of the general case to this one is done at the very end of the proof in Section 10 by using a simple variational argument.

Our strategy is to transform D_ε through the sequence of steps

$$D_\varepsilon =: D_\varepsilon^{(0)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(1)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(2)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(3)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(4)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(5)} \tag{3.2}$$

until we arrive at a "sufficiently simple" operator $D_\varepsilon^{(5)}$. At each step (apart from $D_\varepsilon^{(1)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(2)}$, which is a unitary equivalence) we are able to control the error term as follows:

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \tag{3.3}$$

where q is a sufficiently large exponent. The precise restrictions on q will vary with i, but the choice $q \geq 2p$, $q > 1/\gamma$ will work for all i; here γ is the Hölder exponent in the $\mathbf{S}_{2p}$ valued strong smoothness assumption (2.4).

After the estimates (3.3) have been established, the proof proceeds as follows. The main task is to prove the asymptotics (2.8) for $g(t) = t^m$ for all integers $m \geq q$. (The general case is easily obtained from here by a standard application of the Weierstrass approximation theorem.) Denote by Δ_m be the r.h.s. of (2.8) for $g(t) = t^m$:

$$\Delta_m = \frac{1 + (-1)^m}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n(0)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{-m} dx.$$

Let $P^{(i)}$ be the statement

$$P^{(i)}: \qquad |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i)})^m \to \Delta_m, \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \quad \forall m \geq q. \tag{3.4}$$

Our aim is to prove $P^{(0)}$. But we start from the other end of the chain (3.2): the operator $D_\varepsilon^{(5)}$ is sufficiently simple so we are able to establish $P^{(5)}$, see Section 3.8 below. After that, using an operator theoretic argument (see Section 10), we prove that $P^{(i)}$ together with the estimate (3.3) gives $P^{(i-1)}$. Thus, moving backwards along the chain (3.2), we arrive at the required statement $P^{(0)}$.

One exception is the step $D_\varepsilon^{(1)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(2)}$; here the operators $D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ and $D_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ are unitarily equivalent. Thus, we have $\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(1)})^m = \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(2)})^m$ and so the statements $P^{(1)}$ and $P^{(2)}$ are equivalent.

The operators $D_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ with $i = 3, 4, 5$ are constructed as operator valued *symmetrised Hankel operators*. In the next subsection we introduce and briefly discuss this concept. In the rest of this section, we describe each of the operators $D_\varepsilon^{(i)}$ in the chain (3.2).

3.2. **Symmetrised Hankel operators.** Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Hilbert space (the case $\dim \mathfrak{h} < \infty$ is not excluded). We will denote by $P_\pm$ the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h})$ onto the vector-valued Hardy class $H^2_\pm(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h})$. Of course, this projection is given by the same formula (1.19) as in the scalar-valued case. For $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h})$ we call

$$P_- \mathbf{\Omega} P_+ : H^2_+(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}) \to H^2_-(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h})$$

the *Hankel operator* (HO) with the symbol Ω, and we call

$$\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega) = P_- \mathbf{\Omega} P_+ + P_+ \mathbf{\Omega}^* P_- : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}) \tag{3.5}$$

the *symmetrized Hankel operator* (SHO) with the symbol Ω. Of course, the notion of HO is standard, while the notion of SHO is not; to the best of the author's knowledge, SHOs were introduced in [18] as models for the operators of the type $D(\lambda)$.

By definition, SHOs are self-adjoint. It is well known (see e.g. [14, Section 2.4]) that if the symbol $\Omega \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_\infty)$ and $\|\Omega(\lambda)\| \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, then the corresponding HO (and therefore also the SHO) is compact. In this paper, we will only deal with symbols of this class.

In order to comment on the nature of the spectrum of SHOs, we recall without proof a simple operator theoretic statement.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces and let $X : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ be a compact operator. Then the non-zero eigenvalues of the "block-matrix"*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^* \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$$

are given by $\{\pm s_n(X)\}$, where $\{s_n(X)\}$ are the non-zero singular values of X.

The operator $\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (P_- \mathbf{\Omega} P_+)^* \\ (P_- \mathbf{\Omega} P_+) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}) = H^2_+(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}) \oplus H^2_-(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}).$$

Thus, Lemma 3.1 reduces the analysis of the spectrum of $\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega)$ to computing the singular values of the HO $P_- \mathbf{\Omega} P_+$. We will use this idea below.

Lemma 3.1 also shows that the spectrum of $\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega)$ is symmetric with respect to the reflection around zero. In particular, if $\operatorname{Tr} g(\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega))$ exists for some odd function g, then it equals zero. This gives some insight into the symmetry of the density function μ_λ.

3.3. **Spectral localization: $D_\varepsilon^{(0)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$.** Let $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real valued function such that $\operatorname{supp}\chi_0 \subset \delta_0$ and such that $\chi_0(\lambda) = 1$ for λ in a neighbourhood of $\lambda = 0$. We set

$$D_\varepsilon^{(1)} = \chi_0(H_0)(\chi_0(H)^2\psi_\varepsilon(H) - \chi_0(H_0)^2\psi_\varepsilon(H_0))\chi_0(H_0).$$

Thus, we regularize $D_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ in two ways: (i) we introduce the "spectral cutoff" by replacing $D_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ with $\chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon^{(0)}\chi_0(H_0)$ and (ii) we replace ψ_ε in the definition of $D_\varepsilon^{(0)}$ by $\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0^2$. (We write χ_0^2 rather than χ_0 here for a trivial reason: it will be convenient later to split this term into a product of two cutoff functions.) Item (i) above is purely technical; item (ii) highlights the fact that the only important aspect of ψ_ε is that this function "approaches a jump" at zero, and the behaviour of ψ_ε outside a neighbourhood of zero is irrelevant. We will prove

Lemma 3.2. *Let Assumption 2.2(1), (2) hold true, and assume* (3.1)*. Then*

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(0)} - D_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_p = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

Note that we do not need the strong smoothness assumption here. The proof of the Lemma will be given in Section 4; it involves only some simple C^∞ functional calculus for H_0 and H.

3.4. **Application of the resolvent identity: $D_\varepsilon^{(1)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(2)}$.** First we need some notation. Let

$$Y(z) = V_0(I + T(z)V_0)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

(recall that $T(z)$ is defined in (2.6)). Let χ_0 be as in the previous subsection. Using the notation $Z(\lambda)$ (see (2.3)), we set

$$Z_0(\lambda) = \begin{cases} Z(\lambda)\chi_0(\lambda), & \lambda \in \delta_0, \\ 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \delta_0, \end{cases} \qquad Y_0(\lambda) = \begin{cases} Y(\lambda + i0)\chi_0(\lambda), & \lambda \in \delta_0, \\ 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \delta_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, Z_0 and Y_0 are operator valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and by Assumption 2.2(4) and by Proposition 2.3 they are Hölder continuous:

$$Z_0 \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_{2p}), \quad Y_0 \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{B}). \tag{3.7}$$

We will use the corresponding "multiplication operators"

$$\mathbf{Z}_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y}_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K}),$$

defined as in (1.18). Consider the operator

$$D_\varepsilon^{(2)} := \mathcal{F}D_\varepsilon^{(1)}\mathcal{F}^* \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\delta_0, \mathcal{N}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}).$$

It will be convenient to consider this operator as an operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N})$, extending it by zero to $L^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \delta_0, \mathcal{N})$. Using the resolvent identity for H_0 and H, we will prove

Lemma 3.3. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold true. Then*

$$D_\varepsilon^{(2)} = 4\pi \mathrm{Im}\,(\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- \mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^*) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{N}). \tag{3.8}$$

In (3.8), the projections P_+ and P_- and the multiplication operators $\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon$ and $\boldsymbol{\chi}_0$ act in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{K})$.

3.5. **Swapping $\mathbf{Z}_0$ and $P_\pm$: $D_\varepsilon^{(2)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(3)}$.** Our next step is to swap $\mathbf{Z}_0$ with P_- and P_+ with $\mathbf{Z}_0^*$ in the representation (3.8). Set

$$D_\varepsilon^{(3)} = 4\pi \mathrm{Im}\,(P_- \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 \mathbf{Z}_0 \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Z}_0^* P_+) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{N}). \tag{3.9}$$

In (3.9), $P_\pm$ act in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{N})$. We will prove

Lemma 3.4. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold true and let the exponent q satisfy $q \geq 2p$, $q > 1/\gamma$. Then*

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(2)} - D_\varepsilon^{(3)}\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

The proof will be achieved by a straightforward application of the results of [14, Section 6.9], where Schatten norm estimates for commutators of $P_\pm$ with operator-valued functions are given.

Comparing (3.9) with the definition (3.5) of SHO, we find that the operator $D_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ is in fact a SHO in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{N})$:

$$D_\varepsilon^{(3)} = \mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)}), \quad \Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)} = -2\pi i \psi_\varepsilon \chi_0 Z_0 Y_0 Z_0^*.$$

This already shows (see the discussion after Lemma 3.1) that $\mathrm{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(3)})^m = 0$ for all odd m, whenever the trace exists.

It is important that we can rewrite the symbol $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ in terms of the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ for the pair H_0, H. Recall the stationary representation for $S(\lambda)$ (see e.g. [23, Section 5.5]):

$$S(\lambda) = I - 2\pi i Z(\lambda) Y(\lambda + i0) Z(\lambda)^*, \quad \lambda \in \delta_0. \tag{3.10}$$

We denote

$$S_0(\lambda) = I - 2\pi i Z_0(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda) Z_0(\lambda)^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, $S_0(\lambda) = S(\lambda)$ in a neighbourhood of $\lambda = 0$ and by (3.7),

$$S_0 - I \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_p).$$

With this notation, we can rewrite the symbol $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ as

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)}(\lambda) = \psi_\varepsilon(\lambda)\chi_0(\lambda)(S_0(\lambda) - I), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3.6. **Replacing $S_0(\lambda)$ by $S(0)$: $D_\varepsilon^{(3)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(4)}$.** At this step, we replace the symbol $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(3)}$ with

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)}(\lambda) = \psi_\varepsilon(\lambda)\chi_0(\lambda)(S(0) - I), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $D_\varepsilon^{(4)} = \mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)})$; we prove

Lemma 3.5. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold true, and assume* (3.1). *Let the exponent q satisfy $q \geq 2p$, $q > 1/\gamma$. Then*

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(3)} - D_\varepsilon^{(4)}\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

The proof is based on the Hölder continuity of $S_0(\lambda)$ and again uses the estimates from [14, Section 6.9].

It is important that $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)}(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of a single operator $S(0) - I$ in $\mathcal{N}$. Identifying $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N})$ with $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$, we can write the SHO with the symbol $\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)}$ as

$$\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)}) = (P_- 2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+) \otimes \tfrac{1}{2}(S(0) - I) + (P_- 2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+)^* \otimes \tfrac{1}{2}(S(0)^* - I).$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we see that for even m

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)}))^m = 2\,\mathrm{Tr}|P_- \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{(4)} P_+|^m = 2\,\mathrm{Tr}|P_- 2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+|^m \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n(0)^m \tag{3.11}$$

(recall that $a_n(0)$ are the eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{2}|S(0) - I|$). Thus, the problem reduces to analysing the *scalar* SHO with the symbol $2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0$.

3.7. **Replacing $2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0$ by a model symbol : $D_\varepsilon^{(4)} \to D_\varepsilon^{(5)}$.** It turns out that the leading term of the asymptotics of the trace in the r.h.s. of (3.11) is independent of the details of the behaviour of the function $\psi(\lambda)$, as long as it converges sufficiently fast to the limits $\mp 1/2$ as $\lambda \to \pm\infty$. Thus, we are going to replace the symbol $2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0$ by an explicit model symbol. Let

$$\zeta(\lambda) = -\frac{2}{\pi}\tan^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \zeta_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \zeta(\lambda/\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.12}$$

We set

$$D_\varepsilon^{(5)} = \mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(5)}), \quad \Omega_\varepsilon^{(5)}(\lambda) = (\zeta_\varepsilon(\lambda) - \zeta(\lambda))\tfrac{1}{2}(S(0) - I), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

and prove

Lemma 3.6. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold true, and assume* (3.1). *Let ζ be given by* (3.12). *Then for $q = \max\{2, p\}$ one has*

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(4)} - D_\varepsilon^{(5)}\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

The proof is based on an elementary Hibert-Schmidt estimate for scalar HOs.

3.8. **Computing** $\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(5)})^m$**.** Just as in (3.11), for even m we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(5)})^m = 2\operatorname{Tr}|P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+|^m \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n(0)^m. \tag{3.13}$$

It turns out that the operator $|P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+|$ can be explicitly identified and the asymptotics of its traces can be computed. Let J be the involution in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $(Jf)(x) = f(-x)$. Evidently, J maps $H^2_+(\mathbb{R})$ into $H^2_-(\mathbb{R})$ and vice versa. Consider the operator

$$K_\varepsilon = -iP_+(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})JP_+ \quad \text{ in } H^2_+(\mathbb{R})$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Since ζ is odd, we have $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})J = -J(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ and so K_ε is self-adjoint. We prove

Lemma 3.7. *For $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we have $K_\varepsilon = |P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+|$. This operator belongs to the trace class and for all integers $m \geq 1$*

$$\operatorname{Tr} K_\varepsilon^m = |\log\varepsilon|\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\cosh x)^{-m}dx + O(1) \quad \varepsilon \to +0. \tag{3.14}$$

In the proof of this lemma, we use a calculation from [9]. Of course, (3.13) and (3.14) give the statement $P^{(5)}$, see (3.4).

4. Spectral localization

Here we prove Lemmas 3.2 and 2.1. We start with a proposition which is essentially well known:

Lemma 4.1. (i) *Let Assumption 2.2(1) hold true and let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\varphi(x) \to$ const as $x \to +\infty$. Then*

$$\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0) \in \mathbf{S}_\infty.$$

In particular, under the assumption (1.4) *on ψ, the operator $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is compact for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

(ii) *Let Assumption 2.2(1), (2) hold true and let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\varphi(x) =$* const *for all sufficiently large $x > 0$. Then*

$$\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0) \in \mathbf{S}_p.$$

In particular, under the assumption (3.1) *on ψ, the operator $D_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathbf{S}_p$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

(Item (i) proves Lemma 2.1.)

Proof. (i) We first note that the values $\varphi(x)$ for $x < \min\{\inf\sigma(H), \inf\sigma(H_0)\}$ do not matter, and we may modify the definition of φ for such x as we wish. Thus, we can represent φ as $\varphi(x) = \text{const} + \varphi_0(x)$, where $\varphi_0(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. So it suffices to prove the statement of the Lemma for the case $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(x) \to 0$

as $|x| \to \infty$. Next, let $b > -\min\{\inf\sigma(H_0), \inf\sigma(H)\}$. By the resolvent identity (see (5.1)), we have

$$R(-b) - R_0(-b) \in \mathbf{S}_\infty.$$

It follows that

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(R(-b)) - \widetilde{\varphi}(R_0(-b)) \in \mathbf{S}_\infty$$

for all polynomials $\widetilde{\varphi}$. By the Weierstrass approximation theorem, the same is true for all continuous functions $\widetilde{\varphi}$. Now choosing $\widetilde{\varphi}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}(1/(x+b)) = \varphi(x)$, we obtain

$$\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0) = \widetilde{\varphi}(R(-b)) - \widetilde{\varphi}(R_0(-b)) \in \mathbf{S}_\infty,$$

as required.

(ii) Similarly to part (i), by subtracting a constant from φ we reduce the situation to the case $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. From the inclusion (2.2) it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\widetilde{\varphi}((H+bI)^{-k}) - \widetilde{\varphi}((H_0+bI)^{-k}) \in \mathbf{S}_p, \quad \forall \widetilde{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.1}$$

The implication (2.2) $\Rightarrow$ (4.1) can be obtained by any of a number of standard methods. For example, it follows from [3, Theorem 10]; alternatively, one can use the functional calculus based on the almost analytic continuation of $\widetilde{\varphi}$, see e.g. [6, Section 8]. Now choosing $\widetilde{\varphi}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}(1/(x+b)^k) = \varphi(x)$, we obtain

$$\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0) = \widetilde{\varphi}((H+bI)^{-k}) - \widetilde{\varphi}((H_0+bI)^{-k}) \in \mathbf{S}_p,$$

as required. □

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $\chi_\infty = 1 - \chi_0$. First consider the product

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\infty(H_0)D_\varepsilon &= \chi_\infty(H_0)(\psi_\varepsilon(H) - \psi_\varepsilon(H_0)) \\ &= (\chi_\infty(H_0) - \chi_\infty(H))\psi_\varepsilon(H) + (\chi_\infty(H)\psi_\varepsilon(H) - \chi_\infty(H_0)\psi_\varepsilon(H_0)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

For the first term in the r.h.s. here we get

$$\|(\chi_\infty(H_0) - \chi_\infty(H))\psi_\varepsilon(H)\|_p \le \|\chi_\infty(H_0) - \chi_\infty(H)\|_p \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} < \infty \tag{4.3}$$

by Lemma 4.1(ii). Consider the second term in the r.h.s. of (4.2). Denote $\psi_0(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sign}(x)$. By our assumption (3.1) on ψ, we have

$$\chi_\infty\psi_\varepsilon = \chi_\infty\psi_0 \quad \text{for all sufficiently small } \varepsilon > 0, \tag{4.4}$$

and therefore for such ε the second term in the r.h.s. of (4.2) becomes

$$\chi_\infty(H)\psi_0(H) - \chi_\infty(H_0)\psi_0(H_0),$$

which is in $\mathbf{S}_p$ by Lemma 4.1(ii). Together with (4.3), this yields

$$\|D_\varepsilon - \chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon\|_p = \|\chi_\infty(H_0)D_\varepsilon\|_p = O(1) \tag{4.5}$$

as $\varepsilon \to +0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon - \chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon\chi_0(H_0)\|_p &= \|\chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon\chi_\infty(H_0)\|_p \\ &\le \|\chi_0\|_{L^\infty}\|D_\varepsilon\chi_\infty(H_0)\|_p = O(1)\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

as $\varepsilon \to +0$. Finally, denote $\widetilde{\chi}_\infty = 1 - \chi_0^2$; we have, using (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon\chi_0(H_0) - D_\varepsilon^{(1)} &= \chi_0(H_0)(\widetilde{\chi}_\infty(H)\psi_\varepsilon(H) - \widetilde{\chi}_\infty(H_0)\psi_\varepsilon(H_0))\chi_0(H_0)\\ &= \chi_0(H_0)(\widetilde{\chi}_\infty(H)\psi_0(H) - \widetilde{\chi}_\infty(H_0)\psi_0(H_0))\chi_0(H_0) \quad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently small ε. By Lemma 4.1(ii), the expression in brackets in the r.h.s. of (4.7) is in $\mathbf{S}_p$, and so we obtain

$$\|\chi_0(H_0)D_\varepsilon\chi_0(H_0) - D_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_p = O(1)$$

as $\varepsilon \to +0$. Combining this with (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain the claim of the lemma. □

5. Application of the resolvent identity

Proof of Lemma 3.3. In fact, this calculation has appeared before in [17]. It is based on the iterated resolvent identity written in the form

$$R(z) - R_0(z) = -(GR_0(\overline{z}))^* Y(z) G R_0(z), \quad \operatorname{Im} z > 0. \qquad (5.1)$$

Let us recall the derivation of (5.1) (see e.g. [23, Section 1.9]). In order to avoid inessential technical explanations related to the operator G^*, let us assume here that G is bounded. Iterating the usual resolvent identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned}R(z) - R_0(z) = -R(z)VR_0(z) &= -R_0(z)VR_0(z) + R_0(z)VR(z)VR_0(z) \quad (5.2)\\ &= -R_0(z)G^*V_0(I - GR(z)G^*V_0)GR_0(z). \quad (5.3)\end{aligned}$$

We also have the identity

$$(I - GR(z)G^*V_0)(I + GR_0(z)G^*V_0) = I,$$

which can be verified by expanding and using (5.2). Writing $(I + GR_0(z)G^*V_0)^{-1}$ instead of $(I - GR(z)G^*V_0)$ in (5.3) and recalling the definition (3.6) of $Y(z)$, we obtain (5.1).

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\delta_0)$ (we will eventually take $\varphi = \psi_\varepsilon\chi_0^2$). By a version of Stone's formula, for any $u \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(\varphi(H)u, u) = \frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\epsilon\to+0}\operatorname{Im}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(R(x+i\epsilon)u, u)\varphi(x)dx. \qquad (5.4)$$

Subtracting the analogous formula for $(\varphi(H_0)u, u)$ from (5.4) and using (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&((\varphi(H) - \varphi(H_0))u, u)\\ &\qquad = -\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\epsilon\to+0}\operatorname{Im}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(Y(x+i\epsilon)GR_0(x+i\epsilon)u, GR_0(x-i\epsilon)u)\varphi(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Now let us apply this to $u = \chi_0(H_0)f$, where $f \in \operatorname{Ran} \mathbb{1}_{\delta_0}(H_0)$. By the strong smoothness assumption (2.5), we have

$$GR_0(z)\chi_0(H_0)f = \int_{\delta_0} \frac{Z(t)^* \widetilde{f}(t)}{t-z}\chi_0(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{Z_0(t)^* \widetilde{f}(t)}{t-z}dt, \quad \widetilde{f} = \mathcal{F}f.$$

Combining these formulas, we obtain

$$((\varphi(H)-\varphi(H_0))\chi_0(H_0)f, \chi_0(H_0)f) \\ = 4\pi \lim_{\epsilon\to+0} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds (M_\epsilon(x,t,s)\widetilde{f}(t), \widetilde{f}(s)),$$

where

$$M_\epsilon(x,t,s) = Z_0(s)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{s-x-i\epsilon}Y(x+i\epsilon)\varphi(x)\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)\frac{1}{x-t+i\epsilon}Z_0(t)^*.$$

Now let us use this identity with $\varphi\chi_0$ instead of φ. Recalling the formulas (1.19) for $P_\pm$, we obtain

$$\chi_0(H_0)(\varphi(H)\chi_0(H)-\varphi(H_0)\chi_0(H_0))\chi_0(H_0) = 4\pi \operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- \mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\varphi} P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^*).$$

Finally, we substitute $\varphi = \psi_\varepsilon\chi_0$ to obtain the required identity. □

6. Swapping Z_0 and $P_\pm$

We start by giving the following corollary of the general results of [14, Section 6.9]:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{h}_1$, $\mathfrak{h}_2$ be Hilbert spaces and let Ω be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in the set of compact operators acting from $\mathfrak{h}_1$ to $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Let $q > 1$ and $\gamma > 1/q$; assume that $\Omega \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_q)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\Omega \subset (-r, r)$. Then the operators*

$$P_\mp \boldsymbol{\Omega} P_\pm : L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_1) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_2)$$

belong to $\mathbf{S}_q$ with the norm bound

$$\|P_\mp \boldsymbol{\Omega} P_\pm\|_q \le C(q,\gamma,r)\|\Omega\|_{C^\gamma(\mathbb{R},\mathbf{S}_q)}. \tag{6.1}$$

In the product $P_\mp \boldsymbol{\Omega} P_\pm$, the projection $P_\pm$ on the right acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ and the projection $P_\mp$ on the left acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_2)$. Notation $\mathbf{S}_q$ in the statement of the lemma is used in two different senses: in $C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_q)$ this is the Schatten class of operators acting from $\mathfrak{h}_1$ to $\mathfrak{h}_2$ and in the line above (6.1) it is the Schatten class of operators acting from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_2)$.

Proof. The relevant results in [14] are stated for functions on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ rather than on the real line. In order to make the connection, consider the unitary operator $U_j : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{h}_j) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{h}_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, corresponding to the standard conformal map from the unit circle to the real line:

$$(U_j f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{1}{x+i} f\left(\frac{x-i}{x+i}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{h}_j).$$

Then

$$U_j^* P_\pm U_j = p_\pm,$$

where $p_\pm$ are the orthogonal projections in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{h}_j)$ onto the Hardy classes $H^2_\pm(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{h}_j)$, and

$$U_2^* \boldsymbol{\Omega} U_1 = \boldsymbol{\omega},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the operator of multiplication by the function ω on $\mathbb{T}$ obtained from Ω by the change of variable:

$$\omega(e^{i\theta}) = \Omega\left(i\frac{1+e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}\right), \quad e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{6.2}$$

Corollary 9.4 from [14] states that $p_\mp \boldsymbol{\omega} p_\pm \in \mathbf{S}_q$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ belongs to the operator-valued Besov class $B^{1/q}_{q,q}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{S}_q)$. This class for $q > 1$ is defined as the class of all $\mathbf{S}_q$-valued functions ω on $\mathbb{T}$ such that the norm given by

$$\|\omega\|^q_{B^{1/q}_{q,q}} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\|\omega(e^{i(\theta+\tau)}) - \omega(e^{i\theta})\|_q^q}{|e^{i\tau}-1|^2} d\theta d\tau \tag{6.3}$$

is finite. (In fact, the norm (6.3) vanishes on constant functions, so the precise definition of this Besov class involves taking a quotient over constants.) One also has the corresponding norm bound

$$\|p_\mp \boldsymbol{\omega} p_\pm\|_q \le C_q \|\omega\|_{B^{1/q}_{q,q}}.$$

By our assumption and by (6.2), we have $\omega \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{S}_q)$:

$$\sup_\theta \|\omega(e^{i(\theta+\tau)}) - \omega(e^{i\theta})\|_q \le C|e^{i\tau}-1|^\gamma.$$

Thus, the Besov norm in (6.3) is finite if $q\gamma > 1$, and we obtain (6.1). □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. We have

$$D^{(2)}_\varepsilon - D^{(3)}_\varepsilon = 4\pi \mathrm{Im}\,((\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- - P_- \mathbf{Z}_0)\mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^*) \\ + 4\pi \mathrm{Im}\,(P_- \mathbf{Z}_0 \mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 (P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^* - \mathbf{Z}_0^* P_+)). \tag{6.4}$$

Consider the first term in the r.h.s.; we have

$$\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- - P_- \mathbf{Z}_0 = P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0 P_- - P_- \mathbf{Z}_0 P_+. \tag{6.5}$$

Since $Z_0 \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_{2p}) \subset C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_q)$ and Z_0 has a compact support, we can apply Lemma 6.1 to conclude that the operator (6.5) belongs to $\mathbf{S}_q$. Thus, we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \|(\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- - P_- \mathbf{Z}_0)\mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^*\|_q \le \|\mathbf{Z}_0 P_- - P_- \mathbf{Z}_0\|_q \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \|\mathbf{Y}_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+ \mathbf{Z}_0^*\| < \infty.$$

Similar reasoning applies to the second term in the r.h.s. of (6.4). □

7. Replacing $S_0(\lambda)$ by $S(0)$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We need to prove the estimate

$$\|P_-(\mathbf{S}_0 - S(0))\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_0 P_+\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

By Lemma 6.1, it suffices to prove that

$$\|(S_0 - S(0))\psi_\varepsilon \chi_0\|_{C^\gamma(\mathbb{R},\mathbf{S}_q)} = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

In fact, we will prove a uniform estimate in $C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_p) \subset C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}_q)$. The proof of this estimate is an elementary argument which involves only the Hölder continuity of S_0 and the definition of ψ. Denote $\widetilde{S}(\lambda) = (S_0(\lambda) - S(0))\chi_0(\lambda)$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda_1| \le |\lambda_2|$. We need to prove the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{S}(\lambda_2)\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)\psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)\|_p \le C|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^\gamma \tag{7.1}$$

with C independent of ε. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{S}(\lambda_2)\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)\psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)& \\ = (\widetilde{S}(\lambda_2) - \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1))\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) + \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)(\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)).&\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

For the first term in the r.h.s., by the Hölder continuity of $\widetilde{S}$ we immediately obtain the required uniform bound:

$$\|(\widetilde{S}(\lambda_2) - \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1))\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon)\|_p \le \|\widetilde{S}(\lambda_2) - \widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)\|_p \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \le C|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^\gamma.$$

For the second term in the r.h.s. of (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)(\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon))\|_p &\le \|\widetilde{S}(\lambda_1)\|_p |\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)| \\ &\le C|\lambda_1|^\gamma |\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)|.\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the expression in the r.h.s., let us consider three cases:

Case 1: $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ *and* $\lambda_2 \ge 0$. Recall that we have also assumed $|\lambda_1| \le |\lambda_2|$, so in this case we have $0 \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$. Since $\psi(\lambda) = -1/2$ for $\lambda \ge R$, the case $\lambda_1 \ge \varepsilon R$ is trivial (the difference $\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)$ vanishes). So let us assume that $\lambda_1 < \varepsilon R$. Then, by the Hölder continuity of ψ (our function ψ is C^∞ smooth),

$$|\lambda_1|^\gamma |\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)| \le C\varepsilon^\gamma R^\gamma |\lambda_2/\varepsilon - \lambda_1/\varepsilon|^\gamma \le CR^\gamma |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^\gamma.$$

Case 2: $\lambda_1 \le 0$ *and* $\lambda_2 \le 0$. This case can be treated exactly as Case 1.

Case 3: $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0$. We have

$$|\lambda_1|^\gamma |\psi(\lambda_2/\varepsilon) - \psi(\lambda_1/\varepsilon)| \le 2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} |\lambda_1|^\gamma \le 2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|^\gamma.$$

Thus, we have proven the uniform bound (7.1). □

8. Replacing $2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0$ by a model symbol

First let us prove an elementary estimate for scalar-valued symbols:

Lemma 8.1. *Let ψ satisfy* (3.1) *and let ζ be given by* (3.12). *Then*

$$\|P_-(2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 - (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta}))P_+\|_2 = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

Proof. Denote, as in Section 4, $\chi_\infty = 1 - \chi_0$ and $\psi_0(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sign}(\lambda)$. We have (using (4.4))

$$2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0 - (\zeta_\varepsilon - \zeta) = (2\psi_\varepsilon - \zeta_\varepsilon) + (\zeta - 2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_\infty) = (2\psi_\varepsilon - \zeta_\varepsilon) + (\zeta - 2\psi_0\chi_\infty) \quad (8.1)$$

for all sufficiently small ε. First consider the second term in the r.h.s. of (8.1). Denote $\varphi = \zeta - 2\psi_0\chi_0$; we have

$$P_-\boldsymbol{\varphi}P_+ = P_-(\boldsymbol{\varphi}P_+ - P_+\boldsymbol{\varphi}), \quad (8.2)$$

and therefore it suffices to prove the inclusion

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}P_+ - P_+\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbf{S}_2. \quad (8.3)$$

We have

$$\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi(x) = O(1/x), \quad \varphi'(x) = O(1/x^2), \quad |x| \to \infty. \quad (8.4)$$

The integral kernel of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}P_+ - P_+\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{x - y}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.5)$$

It is an elementary calculation to check that conditions (8.4) imply that the kernel (8.5) belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dxdy)$. Thus, we obtain the inclusion (8.3).

Next, consider the first term in the r.h.s. of (8.1). Denote $\varphi = 2\psi - \zeta$; by (8.2) it suffices to prove that the norm $\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon P_+ - P_+\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\|_2$ is uniformly bounded. Again, φ satisfies (8.4) and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\varphi(x/\varepsilon) - \varphi(y/\varepsilon)}{x - y}\right|^2 dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{x - y}\right|^2 dxdy,$$

which is finite and independent of ε. □

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Since

$$D_\varepsilon^{(4)} - D_\varepsilon^{(5)} = \mathrm{SHO}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)} - \Omega_\varepsilon^{(5)}),$$

and

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(4)} - \Omega_\varepsilon^{(5)} = \big(2\psi_\varepsilon\chi_0 - (\zeta_\varepsilon - \zeta)\big)\tfrac{1}{2}(S(0) - I),$$

we obtain (for $q = \max\{p, 2\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\|D_\varepsilon^{(4)} - D_\varepsilon^{(5)}\|_q &\le 2\|P_-(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{(4)} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\varepsilon^{(5)})P_+\|_q \\ &\le 2\|P_-(2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 - (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta}))P_+\|_q\|\tfrac{1}{2}(S(0) - I)\|_q \\ &\le 2\|P_-(2\boldsymbol{\psi}_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 - (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta}))P_+\|_2\|\tfrac{1}{2}(S(0) - I)\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded by Lemma 8.1. □

9. Computing $\mathrm{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(5)})^m$

Proof of Lemma 3.7. Step 1: let us prove that $|P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+| = K_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and that K_ε is trace class. We denote by Φ the standard unitary Fourier transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(\Phi f)(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-itx} dx.$$

We have $\Phi(H^2_+(\mathbb{R})) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Denote

$$\widehat{K}_\varepsilon = \Phi K_\varepsilon \Phi^* \quad \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The operator $\widehat{K}_\varepsilon$ is an integral operator with the kernel $k_\varepsilon(t+s)$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$k_\varepsilon(t) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\zeta_\varepsilon(x) - \zeta(x)) e^{-ixt} dx, \quad t > 0.$$

Recalling the explicit formula (3.12) for ζ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k_\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{i}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tan^{-1}(x/\varepsilon) - \tan^{-1}(x)) e^{-ixt} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tan^{-1}(x/\varepsilon) - \tan^{-1}(x)) (\tfrac{d}{dx} e^{-ixt}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1/\varepsilon}{1 + x^2/\varepsilon^2} - \frac{1}{1+x^2} \right) e^{-ixt} dx = \frac{e^{-\varepsilon t} - e^{-t}}{\pi t}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the operator with this kernel may be represented as

$$\widehat{K}_\varepsilon = \tfrac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{L} \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)} \mathcal{L} = \tfrac{1}{\pi} (\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)} \mathcal{L})^* (\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)} \mathcal{L}), \tag{9.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Laplace transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$(\mathcal{L} f)(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} f(t) dt,$$

and $\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}$ is the operator of multiplication by the characteristic function of $(\varepsilon, 1)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. From (9.1) it follows that $\widehat{K}_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and therefore $K_\varepsilon \geq 0$. In particular, this means that $|K_\varepsilon| = \sqrt{K_\varepsilon^* K_\varepsilon} = K_\varepsilon$. Since

$$P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+ = JP_+J(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+ = -JP_+(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})JP_+ = -iJK_\varepsilon,$$

it follows that

$$|P_-(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\zeta})P_+| = |-iJK_\varepsilon| = \sqrt{(-iJK_\varepsilon)^*(-iJK_\varepsilon)} = |K_\varepsilon| = K_\varepsilon.$$

Finally, by inspection, $\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)} \mathcal{L}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and therefore $\widehat{K}_\varepsilon$ is trace class.

Step 2: We need to study the asymptotics of the traces $\mathrm{Tr}\, K_\varepsilon^m = \mathrm{Tr}\, \widehat{K}_\varepsilon^m$ and to prove that formula (3.14) holds true for all natural m. This has been done in [9];

let us briefly recall the key steps of this argument. By (9.1) and by the cyclicity of trace, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr} \widehat{K}_\varepsilon^m = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}(\tfrac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2)\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)})^m.$$

Next, we may apply the the result of [12]:

$$|\operatorname{Tr} g(\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}(\tfrac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2)\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}) - \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)} g(\tfrac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2)\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}| \le \frac{1}{2}\|g''\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \|[\tfrac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2, \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}]\|_2^2 \quad (9.2)$$

for any $g \in C^2$ with $g(0) = 0$; this result only uses the fact that $\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}$ is an orthogonal projection and $\frac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2$ is a bounded operator with the spectrum on the interval $[0,1]$. We use (9.2) this with $g(t) = t^m$. A direct calculation shows that the r.h.s. in (9.2) is bounded as $\varepsilon \to +0$.

Next, observe that $\mathcal{L}^2$ is the Carleman operator, i.e. the integral operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with the integral kernel $1/(s+t)$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the diagonalisation of the Carleman operator, one can compute the power $(\frac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2)^m$, which yields [9]

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}(\tfrac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{L}^2)^m\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,1)}) = |\log\varepsilon|\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\cosh x)^{-m}dx + O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives the required result. □

10. Putting it all together: proof of Theorem 2.4

Fix an even integer q such that $q \ge 2p$, $q > 1/\gamma$.

Step 1: assume (3.1) *and let* $g(t) = t^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge q$.

We follow the strategy outlined in Section 3.1. By Lemmas 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, we have

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|_q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0 \qquad (10.1)$$

for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$. Recall that our aim is to prove the implication

$$P^{(i)} + (10.1) \Rightarrow P^{(i-1)}$$

for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$, where $P^{(i)}$ is the statement

$$P^{(i)}: \qquad |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i)})^m \to \Delta_m, \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \quad \forall m \ge q.$$

It suffices to prove that $P^{(i)}$ and (10.1) imply

$$\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i)})^m - \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)})^m = o(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

We use the following simple operator theoretic estimates:

(a) If $X \in \mathbf{S}_q$, $q \ge 1$, then for all $m \ge q$

$$\|X\|_m^m = \||X|^m\|_1 \le \|X\|^{m-q}\||X|^q\|_1 = \|X\|^{m-q}\|X\|_q^q. \qquad (10.2)$$

(b) If $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}_m$, then

$$|\operatorname{Tr} X^m - \operatorname{Tr} Y^m| \le m\|X - Y\|_m \max\{\|X\|_m^{m-1}, \|Y\|_m^{m-1}\}. \qquad (10.3)$$

To prove (10.3), observe that by cyclicity of the trace one has

$$\operatorname{Tr} X^m - \operatorname{Tr} Y^m = \operatorname{Tr}(X - Y)(X^{m-1} + X^{m-2}Y + \cdots + XY^{m-2} + Y^{m-1}),$$

and so (10.3) follows by the application of the Hölder and the triangle inequality for Schatten classes.

We will take $X = D_\varepsilon^{(i)}$, $Y = D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}$. By construction, we have a uniform bound of the operator norms:

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\| = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0 \tag{10.4}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Next, $P^{(i)}$ with $m = q$ gives (using that q is an even integer)

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_q^q = \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i)})^q = O(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon \to +0.$$

From here by (10.2) we obtain $\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_m^m = O(|\log\varepsilon|)$ for all $m \geq q$ and so

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_m = O(|\log\varepsilon|^{1/m}), \quad \varepsilon \to +0, \quad \forall m \geq q. \tag{10.5}$$

By (10.1), (10.2) and (10.4) we get

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|_m^m \leq \|D_\varepsilon^{(i)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|^{m-q}\|D_\varepsilon^{(i)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|_q^q = O(1), \quad \varepsilon \to +0. \tag{10.6}$$

Combining (10.5) with (10.6), we get

$$\|D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)}\|_m \leq \|D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_m + \|D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)} - D_\varepsilon^{(i)}\|_m = O(|\log\varepsilon|^{1/m}), \quad \varepsilon \to +0. \tag{10.7}$$

Substituting (10.5), (10.6) and (10.7) into (10.3), we get

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i)})^m - \operatorname{Tr}(D_\varepsilon^{(i-1)})^m| \leq O(|\log\varepsilon|^{(m-1)/m}) = o(|\log\varepsilon|), \quad \varepsilon \to +0,$$

as required.

Step 2: Let g be a polynomial with $g(t) = O(t^q)$ as $t \to 0$. Write $g(t) = \sum_m g_m t^m$; by the previous step, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon) = \sum_m g_m \Delta_m = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{m \text{ even}} g_m \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n(0)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{-m} dx.$$

By the change of variable $y = a/\cosh x$,

$$\begin{aligned} a^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{-m} dx &= 2a^m \int_0^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{-m} dx \\ &= 2\int_0^a \frac{y^m}{y\sqrt{1 - y^2/a^2}} dy = \int_{-a}^a \frac{y^m}{|y|\sqrt{1 - y^2/a^2}} dy \end{aligned}$$

for even m, and so we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} g(D_\varepsilon) = \int_{-1}^1 g(y)\mu_0(y) dy,$$

where μ_0 is the weight defined by (1.7) with $\lambda = 0$.

Step 3: assume (3.1) and let $g = \mathbb{1}_\omega$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval such that $0 \notin \overline{\omega}$. Let $A = 2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}$; then $\|D_\varepsilon\| \leq A$. Let $g_\pm$ be polynomials with $g_\pm(t) = O(t^q)$, $t \to 0$, and

$$g_-(t) \leq \mathbb{1}_\omega(t) \leq g_+(t), \quad |t| \leq A.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Tr} g_-(D_\varepsilon) \le \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_\omega(D_\varepsilon) \le \operatorname{Tr} g_+(D_\varepsilon).$$

By the previous step, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_\omega(D_\varepsilon) \le \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} g_+(D_\varepsilon) = \int_{-1}^{1} g_+(t)\mu_0(t)dt,$$

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_\omega(D_\varepsilon) \ge \lim_{\varepsilon\to+0} |\log\varepsilon|^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} g_-(D_\varepsilon) = \int_{-1}^{1} g_-(t)\mu_0(t)dt.$$

Taking infimum over all possible polynomials g_+, supremum over all possible polynomials g_- and using the Weierstrass' approximation theorem, we obtain the required asymptotic relation (2.8) for $g = \mathbb{1}_\omega$.

Step 4: the general case.

Let $g = \mathbb{1}_\omega$, where ω is as above, and now we suppose that ψ satisfies (1.4) instead of the stronger condition (3.1). It suffices to consider the cases $\omega = (a, \infty)$ and $\omega = (-\infty, -a)$ with $a > 0$. We consider the first case; the second one can be treated in the same way. Given any $d \in (0, a)$, let us represent $\psi = \psi^{(0)} + \psi^{(1)}$, where $\psi^{(0)}$ satisfies the stronger condition (3.1), and $\|\psi^{(1)}\|_{L^\infty} < d/2$. Then

$$D_\varepsilon = (\psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H_0)) - (\psi^{(1)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(1)}_\varepsilon(H_0)),$$

where $\|\psi^{(1)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(1)}_\varepsilon(H_0)\| < d$, and therefore

$$\psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H_0) - d\cdot I \le D_\varepsilon \le \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H_0) + d\cdot I.$$

By the min-max, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_{(a+d,\infty)}(\psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H_0)) \le \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_{(a,\infty)}(D_\varepsilon) \le \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{1}_{(a-d,\infty)}(\psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H) - \psi^{(0)}_\varepsilon(H_0)).$$

Applying the previous step of the proof and subsequently letting $d \to 0$, we arrive at the required result.

The case of a continuous g which vanishes near the origin follows by approximating g from above and from below by step-functions.

11. Some applications

In this section we give some examples of application of Theorem 2.4 to Schrödinger operators.

11.1. **Zero background potential.** Let

$$H_0 = -\Delta, \quad H = -\Delta + V \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad d \ge 1,$$

where the real-valued potential V satisfies

$$|V(x)| \le C(1 + |x|)^{-\rho}, \quad \rho > 1. \tag{11.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$, and let G be the operator of multiplication by $G(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\rho/2}$; then $V_0 = V_0(x)(1 + |x|)^\rho$. The statement below is essentially well known.

Proposition 11.1. *Assume* (11.1). *Then for any finite interval* $\delta = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ *with* $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$, *for any* $p > \max\{d/\rho, (d-1)/(\rho-1)\}$ *and for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $2pk > d$, *Assumption 2.2 holds true. Moreover, for all* $\lambda \in \delta$ *the equation* (2.7) *has no non-trivial solutions and so* $\delta_0 = \delta$.

Thus, in this case Theorem 2.4 holds true with any $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. The inclusion (2.1) is well-known. Further, by the Kato–Seiler–Simon bound [22, Theorem 4.1], we have for $b > 0$

$$(1 + |x|)^{-\rho}(-\Delta + bI)^{-k} \in \mathbf{S}_p, \quad \rho p > d, \quad 2kp > d.$$

Repeating word for word the argument of [20, Theorem XII.12] for the class $\mathbf{S}_p$ instead of $\mathbf{S}_1$, we obtain the inclusion (2.2).

Let us recall the formulas for the diagonalization $\mathcal{F}_0$ of H_0. The fiber space $\mathcal{N}_d$ is $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$ if $d = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_d = L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if $d \geq 2$. One defines the operator

$$\mathcal{F}_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2((0,\infty); \mathcal{N}_d)$$

by

$$(\mathcal{F}_0 u)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\hat{u}(\sqrt{\lambda}), \hat{u}(-\sqrt{\lambda})), \quad \lambda \in (0,\infty), \quad d = 1, \tag{11.2}$$

$$(\mathcal{F}_0 u)(\lambda, \omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \hat{u}(\sqrt{\lambda}\omega), \quad \lambda \in (0,\infty), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad d \geq 2, \tag{11.3}$$

where $\hat{u}$ is the standard (unitary) Fourier transform of u. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}_0$ diagonalises H_0. From (11.2), (11.3) it is easy to obtain explicit formulas for the operator $Z_0(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{N}_d$, defined by (2.3). We have for any $\lambda > 0$

$$Z_0(\lambda) u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\widehat{Gu}(\sqrt{\lambda}), \widehat{Gu}(-\sqrt{\lambda})), \quad d = 1, \tag{11.4}$$

$$(Z_0(\lambda) u)(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \widehat{Gu}(\sqrt{\lambda}\omega), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad d \geq 2.$$

Thus, for $d = 1$ the operator $Z_0(\lambda)$ has rank ≤ 2 and it is straightforward to check that $Z_0(\lambda)$ is Hölder continuous in $\lambda > 0$. For $d \geq 2$, it is also easy to prove the Hölder continuity of $Z_0(\lambda)$ in $\mathbf{S}_{2p}$ norm, $p > \frac{d-1}{\rho-1}$; this can be done by interpolating between the cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. See e.g. [24, Lemma 8.1.8] for the details.

Finally, the fact that equation (2.7) has only trivial solutions for $\lambda > 0$, follows by the argument involving Agmon's "bootstrap" and Kato's theorem on the absence of positive eigenvalues of H; see e.g. [24, Section 1.9] for an exposition of this argument. □

11.2. **Constant homogeneous magnetic field in three dimensions.** Let us fix $B = \text{const} > 0$ and define the operator

$$H_0 = (-i\nabla - A(x))^2 - B \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{11.5}$$

where

$$A(x) = (-\tfrac{1}{2}Bx_2, \tfrac{1}{2}Bx_1, 0)$$

is the vector-potential corresponding to the constant homogeneous magnetic field $(0,0,B)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The term $-B$ in (11.5) is added only for the purposes of normalization; with this normalization, the spectrum of H_0 coincides with the interval $[0,\infty)$.

The operator H_0 can be written as $H_0 = h_0 + (-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2})$, where h_0 is the two-dimensional magnetic operator,

$$h_0 = \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{2}Bx_2\right)^2 + \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{1}{2}Bx_1\right)^2 - B \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

The spectrum of h_0 consists of the Landau levels $\{0, 2B, 4B, \dots\}$, which are the eigenvalues of h_0 of infinite multiplicity. The Landau levels play the role of thresholds in the spectrum of H_0. We set $H = H_0 + V$, where the real-valued potential V satisfies

$$|V(x)| \le C(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|)^{-\rho_\perp}(1 + |x_3|)^{-\rho}, \tag{11.6}$$

with

$$\rho > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \rho_\perp \le \rho. \tag{11.7}$$

Let G be the operator of multiplication by $G(x) = G_\perp(x_1, x_2)G_3(x_3)$, where

$$G_\perp(x_1, x_2) = (1 + |x_1| + |x_2|)^{-\rho_\perp/2}, \quad G_3(x_3) = (1 + |x_3|)^{-\rho/2}.$$

Proposition 11.2. *Assume* (11.6), (11.7) *and let* $\delta \subset (0,\infty)$ *be an open interval which does not contain any of the Landau levels* $\{2nB\}_{n=0}^\infty$. *Then Assumption 2.2 is satisfied with* $k = 1$ *and with any integer exponent* $p > \max\{2, 2/\rho_\perp\}$. *Let* $\delta_* \subset \delta$ *be the set of* $\lambda \in \delta$ *where the equation* (2.7) *has a non-trivial solution. Then* δ_* *coincides with the set of eigenvalues of* H *in* δ.

We note that the set of the eigenvalues of H in δ may be non-empty, see examples in [2, Section 5]. In any case, we see that Theorem 2.4 holds true for any

$$\lambda > 0, \quad \lambda \notin (\{2nB\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \sigma_p(H)).$$

Proof. (1) The inclusion (2.1) follows from the diamagnetic inequality, see e.g. [2, Section 2].

(2) By our assumptions on p, we have $G \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By the Kato–Seiler–Simon bound [22, Theorem 4.1] it follows that

$$G(-\Delta + bI)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_{2p}, \quad b > 0.$$

Since p is assumed to be an integer, by the diamagnetic inequality this implies (see [2, Section 2]) that

$$G(H_0 + bI)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_{2p}, \quad b > 0.$$

An application of the resolvent identity (see (5.3)) and of the Holder inequality for the Schatten classes (see (1.17)) shows that

$$(H + bI)^{-1} - (H_0 + bI)^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_p$$

for $b > 0$, $b > -\inf \sigma(H)$, as required.

(3) As already mentioned, H_0 has a purely a.c. spectrum $[0, \infty)$ with multiplicity $N = \infty$.

(4) Let us recall the explicit diagonalisation of H_0. Let P_n be the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto the eigenspace $\mathrm{Ker}(h_0 - 2nB)$ of h_0. Then

$$h_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2nBP_n$$

and so we get

$$\varphi(H_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n \otimes \varphi(-\tfrac{d^2}{dx_3^2} + 2nB) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

for any bounded function φ. The operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx_3^2}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonalised by the map $\mathcal{F}_0$, see (11.2). This allows one to diagonalise H_0. In order to display the corresponding formulas, let us assume for simplicity of notation that $\delta \subset (0, 2B)$; this corresponds to only one scattering channel being open on δ. Then the diagonalisation operator $\mathcal{F}$ for H_0 associated with the interval δ can be written as

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{Ran}\, \mathbb{1}_\delta(H_0) \mapsto L^2(\delta, \mathcal{N}), \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathrm{Ran}\, P_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2,$$

$$\mathcal{F} = P_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Further, let $Z_0(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be the operator (11.4) with $G = G_3$. Then the corresponding operator $Z(\lambda)$ for H_0 can be written as

$$Z(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{N}, \quad Z(\lambda) = P_0 G_\perp \otimes Z_0(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \delta.$$

By our assumptions on the exponent p, we have $G_\perp \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and therefore $P_0 G_\perp \in \mathbf{S}_{2p}$ (this can be proven by interpolating between the cases $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$; see e.g. [7, Lemma 3.1]). In the proof of Proposition 11.1 we have already seen that $Z_0(\lambda)$ is a rank two operator which is Hölder continuous in $\lambda > 0$. From here we obtain the strong $\mathbf{S}_{2p}$-smoothness assumption for $Z(\lambda)$.

Finally, the required statement about δ_* was proven in [2, Section 4]. □

11.3. **Periodic operators.** Let $H_0 = -\Delta + W$ be the Schrödinger operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, with a periodic background potential W, and let $H = H_0 + V$, where V satisfies the estimate (11.1) with $\rho > 1$. One can apply Theorem 2.4 to this pair of operators. In the one-dimensional case $d = 1$ this is an easy exercise by using the standard facts about the diagonalisation of H_0, see e.g. [21, Section XIII.16]. In the multi-dimensional case, the verification of Assumption 2.2 meets the following difficulty: the local structure of the energy band functions of the operator H_0 is not fully understood. As a consequence, the required facts about

the diagonalisation operator $\mathcal{F}$ are not available for all energies. This issue can be overcome by making rather restrictive assumptions on the behaviour of the energy band functions, see e.g. [5].

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Rupert Frank and Yuri Safarov for useful remarks.

References

[1] A. B. Aleksandrov, V. V. Peller, *Functions of operators under perturbations of class* $\mathbf{S}_p$, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), no. 11, 3675–3724.
[2] J. Avron, I. Herbst, B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I. General interactions,* Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 847–883.
[3] M. Sh. Birman, M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals.* Topics in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1, pp. 25–54, Consultants Bureau Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York 1967.
[4] M. Sh. Birman, M. Z. Solomyak, *Double operator integrals in a Hilbert space,* Integral Equations Operator Theory **47** (2003), 131–168.
[5] M. Sh. Birman, D. R. Yafaev, *The scattering matrix for a perturbation of a periodic Schrdinger operator by decreasing potential,* St. Petersburg Math. J. **6** (1995), no. 3, 453–474.
[6] M. Dimassi, J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit,* Cambridge University Press, 1999.
[7] C. Fernandez, G. D. Raikov, *On the singularities of the magnetic spectral shift function at the Landau levels,* Ann. H. Poincaré **5** (2004), 381–403.
[8] R. L. Frank, M. Lewin, E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, *A positive density analogue of the Lieb–Thirring inequality.* Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 3, 435–495.
[9] R. L. Frank, A. Pushnitski, *The spectral density of a product of spectral projections,* to appear in J. Funct. Anal., arXiv:1409.1206.
[10] V. Kostrykin, K. Makarov, *On Krein's example,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 6, 2067–2071.
[11] M. G. Krein, *On the trace formula in perturbation theory (Russian)* Mat. Sb. **33 (75)**, no. 3 (1953), 597–626.
[12] A. Laptev, Yu. Safarov, *Szegő type limit theorems*, J. Funct. Anal. **138** (1996), no. 2, 544–559.
[13] M. Kohmoto, T. Koma, S. Nakamura, *The spectral shift function and the Friedel sum rule,* Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 5, 1413–1424.
[14] V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
[15] D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes,* Acta Math. **207** (2011), no. 2, 375–389.
[16] A. Pushnitski, *The scattering matrix and the differences of spectral projections*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **40** (2008), 227–238.
[17] A. Pushnitski, *Scattering matrix and functions of self-adjoint operators*, J. of Spectral Theory **1** (2011), 221–236.
[18] A. Pushnitski, D. Yafaev, *Spectral theory of discontinuous functions of self-adjoint operators and scattering theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 1950–1973.
[19] A. Pushnitski, D. Yafaev, *Spectral theory of piecewise continuous functions of self-adjoint operators*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 5, 1079–1115.

[20] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III: Scattering theory.* Academic Press, New York, 1979.
[21] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV: Analysis of operators.* Academic Press, New York, 1978.
[22] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications.* Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **120**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
[23] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory. General theory.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
[24] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory. Analytic theory.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, U.K.
E-mail address: alexander.pushnitski@kcl.ac.uk